

(1) HBFR-

(2) What are the verification problem areas -

- a) Cruise - requires capability
- b) Backfire -
- c) Modernization (accuracy),
- d) MiG's inside wall
- e) CMC's -

(3) Where has Soviets cut corners on SALT I

(4) How many of the newer systems SS-11 or Yankee class will Soviets have to cut -

(5) Is it correct that until 1982 we will not have to make any reductions in order to use 120 ALCM bombers - Do we have a free ride?

(6) - Cold Launch?

(7) - Can we transfer technology - p.36

(8) - I don't understand - p.37

(9) - How important is a data base for verified / firm follow on conventionally armed cruise missiles  
Tomy hawk p.55

/ Can we say that we consulted on city  
 + that all have accepted profound limits p.56  
 / P72 ?

/ Do all B-52's count - Yes

/ Do we count all Bear & Hcon now? ✓

/ VFB-111 it will not count

/ Steady migration in Backfire

Bomber variants 35 - Bison tankers  
75 Bear tow & reen aircraft

IV-402

- ~~Agreement~~
- 1) Would establish agreed agenda at lower levels
  - 2) Would reverse trend toward larger strategic forces
  - 3) Not put significant overall limits on Soviet forces  
Then 1985
  - 4). Put import export limits on HIRV's ICBM's.  
The most <sup>potent</sup> threatening part of Soviet force.

### Launch definition

Defined as land based launch of ballistic  
missiles in excess of 5500 km.

### Soviet concessions -

- 1). Submit in Hirv's ICBM's - 820
- 2) " " Hirv's missiles below 1320 IE 1200
- 3). Agreed to new wh. will enhance verification of  
HIRE II m.t. Bomber + Launch
- 4) Agreed to Ban on new Hirv's ICBM's.
- 5) " not to limit ALCOI bomber on  
same bases as Hirv's missiles -
- 6). Agreed to <sup>repossess</sup> ~~existing~~ of ~~existing~~ & select up to 2500  
Km. cruise missiles.
- 7) To count DGP missiles 120 in 820 submit.
- 8) Agree would require them to take down at  
least 300 ~~missile~~ systems.
- 9) Agree to qualitative constraints - covered by  
new systems (324)